Engineering Workload Guidelines (Isl)/ Áν•& íó• ‰‰Œ}À Çt (μοšÇKšX ïíU îìíõV ‰‰Œ}À ÇWŒ}À}•šE}À

The general principlesonsidered by the FAC in the following FAEM (point setup) is to fairly assess the Scholarly output of the faculty in a manner that promotes activity that is of benefit to the careers of faculty mtroper

ulty reputation

ual workloads 24 credithours rkload hour (1500 1/24 credit at bring this value obser to 50 ours needed to satisfy the

> Scholarly output (Publications, Patents, Presentations) Externalfunding(Total external dollars, indirects generation, support of student researchers)

Summary of Possible RangesWorkloadAssignments

	Workload Hours
Research	0-15
Scholarly output (96)	
External funding (9)	
Service	0-3
Teaching	9-24
Advising (03)	
Course load (24)	

# Faculty Activity Evaluation Model (FAEM) Washkewicz Colleger Engineering

The Faculty Activity Evaluation Model (FAEM) is a "pojistem" where a fadty are awarded points for researchservice and advisingThese points are converted equivalent woldead credits asconsistent with the AAUP contract This model is used to determine faculty's assigned cours and for the following academic year. The total load is 24 hours divided among teaching research, and servic(#3.1E) The assigned teaching load is determined by subtracting the research and service workload hours from 24, tweth constraint of aminimum teaching load o9 credit hours per year (13.1F.1)

Summary of Possible Ranges of Workload Assignments (13.1F) Workload Hours Research 0-15 Scholarly output (G) External funding (G) Service 0-3 Teaching 9-24 Advising (G)\* Course load (24)

\*Advising and Service may be greater than 3 only with prior approval from Chair and Dean.

#### Implementation

The chairs will collect the data for the workload model from the faculty FAARs and end of year grant statements and in conjunction with the Dean's office assign points for the faculty activities. This will be submitted to the individual faculty members **te**rify that the information is correct and complete.

#### Transparency

The Dean's office will prepare for the faculty ummary table listing he numbers of scholarly works, service activities, and dollars of funding each faculty member (without names). The table will also show the conversion of the points to workload credits or each category

The data and workload calculations for all faculty will be available on requestytion terested faculty member. This includes the raw data for the scholarlyput, external funding, service, and advising (citations of scholarly output, sources and amounts of external funding, service work, etc.), as well as the points assigned and credits calculated from these points.

# RESEARQ® to 15 workload hours) Scholarly Output (0-6 workload hours)

Workload hours are calculated by dividing **total cumulative points from past three years** by 10 for a maximum of 6 workload hours. The primary measure of scholarly output in Engineering is publication in peer-reviewed journals and proceedings. It is generally recognized that publication in higher quality journals is more prestigious and brings greater recognition to the faculty members and to the College. Therefore, if a faculty member has published three articles over three years in Quartile 1 or 2 journals, it is sufficient to be awarded the full 6 workload hours. Alternatively, a faculty member could reach this number through points obtained by other scholarly works.

1. Journal Publicatiosand Conference Proceedings

Evaluation Basis: n (1) the articles published in the past three years ount (this excludes articles that were accepted, but not yet published). Detailed information per publication must be provided via eFAAR. a e a

### 4. Presentationsat Conferences/Invited Lectures

3 point per lecture/oral presentation

1 point per poster presentation

NOTE This includes presentations that turn into conference proceeding wited lectures include invitations by other institutions to their seminar series.

5

### ADVISIN@based only on the past academic year)

Advising workload crediture not considered researchr service butare an administrative duty that is credited to fulfill part of the assigned teaching workload. The credituse determined by dividing the total advising points by 100, with a maximum workload creditures special approval from the Dean is obtained.

1. Undergraduate Student Advising

2 points per advised student\*

\*NOTE The faculty must be an official undergraduate advisor assigned by the department

2. Graduate Students Advising\* 1 point per advised student

\*NOTE The faculty must be an official graduate advisor assigned by the department for graduate students whoare *not* completing thesis or dissertation.

3. University Funded Undergraduate Research supervision during Summer (based only on the past academic year)

10 points per student project per summer

4. Master Project Supervision (based only on the past academic year)

20 points per completion of project supervision\*.

\*NOTE 1Supervision means successful supervision of the same Master student until completion. A Master project must be 3 credits **m**ore.

\*NOTE 2This cu Thid.2(s)-9.9(au)-0.8(c-10.2()-0.8(e)-7(r)-10.2(v)-0.9(i)5.2(s)-9.8(i)-5.1(e)-7(r s)i8(i)-5t)-3.3